

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/676,230	(09/30/2003	Javier Leija	884.A66US1	6381		
21186	7590	07/28/2005		EXAM	INER		
SCHWEG	MAN, LU	NDBERG, WOE	SSNER & KLUTH, P.A.	R & KLUTH, P.A. THOMPSON, GREGORY D			
P.O. BOX 2	938						
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55402-0938		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2835			

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	 ;	1XX				
	10/676,230	LEIJA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>					
	Gregory D. Thompson	2835						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence ac	ddress					
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	eptember 2003.							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• • •	` '						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			• • •					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	TO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			0.					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 		a in this National	Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d						
The same and a same a s	assumed doplos flot received							
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	PTO-413) le						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/676,230

Art Unit: 2835

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figs. 1-2B; Figs. 1, 3, and Figs. 1, 4A-4B, 5. Fig.1 being common.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no .The language of first heat conduction rate, second heat conduction rate different, higher, greater from the first heat conduction rate" as stated in claims 1, 11, 16 and 19 being absent from the disclosure renders it impossible to show a generic claim(s) generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 2835

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 54. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thompson Gregory whose telephone number is (571)272-2045. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached on (571)272-2800,ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 2835

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thompson/ds

06/22/05

Gregory Framiner